## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Robin K. Thomas,	) C.A. #4:06-777-PMD
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	ORDER
Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security,	) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

This social security case is before the Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that the final decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (the "Commissioner") to deny the plaintiff's request for benefits be affirmed. The record includes a report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with this Court's Order of Reference and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed timely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The

4:06-cv-00777-PMD Entry Number 27 Date Filed 08/13/07 Page 2 of 3

objections to the magistrate judge's report on July 27, 2007.

A review of the plaintiff's objections and the record indicates that the magistrate judge's

report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's

report is incorporated into this order.

Plaintiff's objections fail to directly address the magistrate judge's report. Any written

objection must specifically identify the portions of the report and recommendation to which

objections are made and the basis for such objections. 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1). Generally stated,

nonspecific objections have the same effect as would a failure to object. Howard v. Secretary of

Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505, 509 (6th Cir. 1991).

Accordingly, this court agrees with the magistrate judge, and

IT IS HEREWITH ORDERED that the Commissioner's final decision denying benefits

is hereby affirmed.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

August 13, 2007

Charleston, South Carolina

notice must be sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." Id. at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the consequences at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report

2

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that she has the right to appeal this Order within **thirty (30) days** from the date hereof pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.